Joanna Johnson

English 1302

22 November 2025

Friedrich Bruck

Researched Position Paper

# Fast Food and the Obesity Epidemic: The Weight of Convenience

Fast food has become a main component of life in America, standing as a pillar of convenience in such a speedy culture. Drive-thrus and quick combo meals are everywhere, offering seemingly good escapes from the busyness of work and school. As fast food took over American culture, obesity escalated. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 42% of U.S. adults and 20% of children are obese, which is a dramatic increase from past decades (CDC, “Adult Obesity Facts”; CDC, “Childhood Obesity Facts”). This incredible rise highlights the importance of understanding the causes of obesity and equipping people with the ability to make informed decisions. Some critics argue that fast-food corporations are primarily responsible, blaming aggressive marketing, increasing portion sizes, and artificial taste that accentuate overeating. Others emphasize societal pressures, little access to healthy foods, and individual choices. While these perspectives provide valuable points of view, the most practical and empowering approach to mitigating the downsides of fast food is focused on personal responsibility through improved nutrition education, calorie awareness, and conscientious, strategic decision-making in the modern food environment.

To assert the importance of personal control, it's necessary to show that individuals can meaningfully change their life when they understand how calories and satiety affect their eating habits. To truly understand the obesity issue, we must begin with something often misunderstood: weight gain is related to energy, not just judgement or corporations responsibly indicating "bad" vs "good" in their products. The energy that comes from food is found in the way of calories, making them an essential metric when evaluating food choices, with an adult male typically needing about 2000 calories. Likewise, calorie density, the measure of how many calories are present in food relative to how filling it is, or its volume, is pivotal in this part of the equation. Many people mistakenly believe that micronutrient-rich, healthy foods are always more weight conscious. However, that's not always true. Almonds, for example, are packed with healthy fats, protein, and micronutrients, but also a tremendous number of calories, about 200 per handful; meanwhile, you'll be nowhere near as full from eating the handful as if you were to eat a chicken breast (also around 200 calories). This highlights the challenge of balancing health with caloric intake and energy needs, and makes an important point that knowledge of calories is crucial. Understanding these ideas equips individuals with the understanding on how to not overeat, even in a food environment engineered for it.

Fast food adds to this issue. Because fast food is intentionally calorie dense and minimally filling, individuals must be aware and intentional and intentional with the amounts they eat. With meals being engineered for high calories (quick energy) and taste, which encourage a caloric surplus, much fast food fails to provide enough fullness relative to its calories; while the body absorbs a lot of calories, your stomach is nowhere near full, and satiety signals like leptin lag. Fast food leaves people unsatisfied, prompting eating again, overconsumption of calories, and spending on more food. Moreover, food companies often

engineer food to trigger cravings. Additives, flavor enhancers, sodium, and sugar are added to maximize taste. Quantity also matters: fast-food portion sizes have grown over the years, encouraging overconsumption. While corporate practices contribute to an obese environment, they do not remove the importance of personal choice; understanding nutrition, choosing healthily, and portion controlling allows individuals to keep control over their diets and counteract these influences.

Another argument frequently made in favor of fast food is its affordability. However, evaluating affordability through calories per dollar and satiety reveals that consumers can make much better decisions when informed. For example, a rotisserie chicken from Walmart or Kroger, typically costing 5–8 dollars, contains about 1200 calories and is very filling, yielding a cost-effective source of calories along with proper nutrition (NutritionValue.org; Red Table Meats). On the other hand, a Texas Double Whopper from Burger King costs $9 and provides similar calories in just one sandwich. It's also important to note that grocery stores like WinCo have a profit margin around 2 percent and have for decades (Trejo-Pech et al.), while some fast-food corporations like McDonald's have a profit margin of 32% and climbing (ValueInvesting). These comparisons demonstrate that even grocery-store prepared options are often more nutritious and filling for the same or lower cost, challenging the idea that fast food is inherently cheap.

Consider this: fast food is not the only convenient choice. By comparing cost efficiency, individuals can align their convenience preferences with their health. Cheap vegetables demonstrate affordability and low-calorie density. Cucumbers, for instance, are very low in calories, with about 68 per pound (FatSecret). They are 95% water, making them naturally satiating without contributing many calories to a diet. Importantly, at WinCo in Arlington,

Texas, cucumbers cost just 78 cents each and weigh about 300 grams (WinCo Price List). For people in search of low-cost ways to feel full without exceeding caloric needs, vegetables like cucumbers show how accessible calorie-control strategies can be.

Clearly, the fast-food industry benefits from the American diet, involving the aspect of corporate responsibility. Fast-food companies invest in targeted, aggressive advertising, particularly to children; research shows that early exposure to fast-food branding strongly influences children's choices (Bagnato et al. 1436). Given that children are still understanding persuasion in marketing, companies' attempts to appeal to them raise ethical questions.

At the same time, structural and cultural factors genuinely limit people's choices, complicating, but not eliminating, the weight of personal responsibility. The American way of life is fast, with many families rushing between work, childcare, and classes, with few people sitting down to eat mindful meals. In such a fast-paced culture, people think of the opportunity cost of preparing proper meals. For example, if someone spends 30 minutes cooking versus 10 minutes in a drive-thru, and they make $35 an hour, they "lose" $11.67 during that extra time. Viewed this way, fast food starts to look like a great option. In contrast, cultures such as Colombia emphasize home-cooked food, shared meals, and slower eating patterns (Jayasinghe). Even healthy foods like salads are eaten differently, with Colombians using lime and salt and Americans relying on higher-calorie dressings. Cultural norms can be potholes in the path to healthier eating, but increasing nutritional knowledge helps people navigate what they can't fully control.

To shift the narrative, public health efforts must emphasize calorie education, balancing energy (from calories) with micronutrient intake, and the diminishing returns of overeating.

Nutrition education in schools should focus on what calories do and what dictates weight and energy, rather than simply saying “eat your vegetables.”

Some people assert that people in food deserts, low-income communities, and busy families don’t have equal access to healthy food options. This concern is valid, and personal responsibility can operate alongside much needed structural improvements rather than in competition with them. Programs that combine education, budget-conscious meal planning, and healthier options accessible to all can help traverse structural blockades. For instance, increasing the availability of ready-to-eat healthy options, improving transportation to grocery stores, or partnerships that bring fresh produce to food deserts can make healthier options realistic without drastic changes.

Moreover, regulation plays a big role. Policymakers could impose stricter limits on marketing to children, provide more transparent labeling, or incentivize companies to be more responsible with what is going into our food, while balancing nutrition with portion control. However, regulation without education is incomplete; (1) access, (2) policy, and (3) personal knowledge must work together for long-term change.

In this conversation, it is also crucial to acknowledge that fast food is not inherently bad. Enjoying it in moderation can be beneficial, especially for those in time crunches. Where most people go wrong is a lack of awareness and willingness to compromise. People need to understand this before consuming calorie-dense food: it will result in a disproportionate number of calories relative to satiety, meaning that if you eat this type of food until you’re full, you will be in a calorie surplus. Knowing this, a compromise must be made when eating such food; you will have to make up for the excess calories by either eating less later or burning more through

exercise. Tradeoffs like these support the idea that being responsible for your own health is about informed decision-making, not perfection.

Personal responsibility also requires acknowledging the relationship between eating and mental and emotional realities. Many U.S. adults—especially stressed college students and exhausted parents—use food as a coping outlet. When someone hasn't slept or is overwhelmed, food can offer relief; interestingly, sleep deprivation throws off hunger-regulating hormones like ghrelin and leptin, making people feel hungrier and less satisfied (Taheri et al.). Poor habits like these exacerbate comfort eating, which is very real: dopamine becomes extra responsive to high-sugar and high-fat foods in these conditions, temporarily calming you (Epel et al.). Recognizing these mental factors brings nuance, showing that personal responsibility requires support, not just willpower.

This is especially important for college students. Those who live in dorms lack a full kitchen and rely on campus dining, snacking, or skipping meals until very late at night. A student pulling an all-nighter might grab multiple fast-food meals, unaware that these choices can easily exceed their caloric needs; plus, it can actually make them less efficient and have less energy. Studies show that diets high in ultra-processed foods are associated with cognitive decline, lack of clarity, and quicker fatigue compared to whole-food diets, leading to less productivity (Gonçalves et al.). Practical, actionable advice, such as meal-prep workshops, can prevent overreliance on fast food (Chen et al. 1340). Fast food will always be an option, but it should not be the default.

Looking ahead, a healthy future can coexist with fast food. However, this demands a transformation in our way of looking at food. Imagine a society where we educate every child on how to interpret calories, where grocery stores compete by offering ready-to-eat meals that are

affordable and nutritionally transparent. A shift where companies offer options that favor satiety rather than immediate pleasure that leaves customers hungry and wanting more. Meanwhile, communities and schools may come together around sharing meals and restoring the culture of eating for nourishment and connection, while offering a break from society's pace. And perhaps most importantly, everyday Americans like students and busy parents will recognize that healthy eating is not about extreme diets, but consistently making an intentional decision to take care of the only body you get. It's also about understanding that having fast food doesn't constitute "failing" to be healthy; it just carries a caloric cost that must be accounted for. Things like choosing diet soda over regular—or better yet, water—and listening to fullness cues can constitute long-term benefits. These everyday strategies show that it's realistic, practical, and empowering to be responsible; it doesn't have to be punitive.

Through education, awareness, and personal accountability, we can cultivate a new standard for health in America, one conscious decision at a time. By acting today, individuals can improve their own health while also influencing public welfare and the habits of future generations (Chen et al. 1340). In this future, it will be individuals' personal responsibility, fueled by knowledge and care for their bodies, that makes the difference. Not blame. Not shame. Rather, empowerment grounded in science. When Americans understand what they're eating, how it affects us, and why convenience often costs more than it seems, we can make more informed decisions that push corporations toward healthier practices, reshape policy priorities, redefine cultural norms, and ultimately create a more sustainable path to health.

Works Cited

Bagnato, Mariangela, et al. “The Impact of Fast Food Marketing on Brand Preferences and Fast Food Intake of Youth Aged 10–17 across Six Countries.” *BMC Public Health*, vol. 23, article 1436, 2023. https://bmcpublichealth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12889-023-16158-w

CDC. “Adult Obesity Facts.” National Center for Health Statistics, Sept. 2024, www.cdc.gov/obesity/adult-obesity-facts/index.html.

CDC. “Childhood Obesity Facts.” National Center for Health Statistics, Apr. 2024, www.cdc.gov/obesity/childhood-obesity-facts/childhood-obesity-facts.html.

Chen, Zhangling, et al. “Ultra-Processed Food Consumption and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes: Three Large Prospective U.S. Cohort Studies.” *Diabetes Care*, vol. 46, no. 7, 2023, pp. 1335–1345. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10300524/

*“Cucumber (With Peel).”* FatSecret, https://www.fatsecret.com/calories-nutrition/usda/cucumber-(with-peel).

Epel, Elissa S., et al. “Stress, Eating and the Reward System.” *Stress*, vol. 18, no. 4, 2015, pp. 369–383. PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4214609/.

Gonçalves, Natalia Gomes, et al. “Association Between Consumption of Ultraprocessed Foods and Cognitive Decline.” *JAMA Neurology*, vol. 80, no. 2, 2022, pp. 142–150. *PMC*, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9857155/

Grancea, Adrian, Nicoleta Andreea Neacșu, and Marius Balășescu. “Circular Economy and Sustainability in the Fast-Food Industry: A Marketing Approach.” *Proceedings of the International Conference on Business Excellence*, vol. 19, no. 1, 2025, pp. 5384–5398. https://reference-global.com/article/10.2478/picbe-2025-0411

*“How Much Does a Cucumber Weigh?”* Ntro.it, https://www.ntro.it/how-much-does-a-cucumber-weigh/.

Jayasinghe, Surani. “Cultural Influences on Dietary Choices: The Global Expansion of Fast Food.” *Appetite*, vol. 195, 2025, p. 107286, doi:10.1016/j.appet.2024.107286. https://researchportal.northumbria.ac.uk/ws/portalfiles/portal/185285821/1-s2.0-S0033062025000209-main.pdf?utm_source=chatgpt.com

NutritionValue.org. “Traditional Fully-Cooked Rotisserie Chicken by Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. Nutrition Facts.” www.nutritionvalue.org/Traditional_fully-cooked_rotisserie_chicken_by_Wal-Mart_Stores%2C_Inc._806571_nutritional_value.html.

Red Table Meats. “How Many Calories Are Really in Walmart Chicken?” 2025, redtablemeats.com/fresh-meat/poultry/chicken/how-many-calories-are-in-walmart-chicken/. https://redtablemeats.com/fresh-meat/poultry/chicken/how-many-calories-are-in-walmart-chicken/

Roosevelt Institute. “The Impact of Fast-Food Industry Profiteering.” Mar. 2024, rooseveltinstitute.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/03/RI_Fast-Food-Industry-Profiteering_Brief_032024.pdf. https://rooseveltinstitute.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/03/RI_Fast-Food-Industry-Profiteering_Brief_032024.pdf

Smith, T., J. Wright, M. Pace, and L. Jackson. “Changes in Fast-Food Consumption and Weight Gain: A Longitudinal Cohort Study in U.S. Adults.” *American Journal of Public Health*, vol. 114, no. 3, 2024, pp. 415–425, https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2023.307896.

Taheri, Shahrad, et al. “Short Sleep Duration Is Associated with Reduced Leptin, Elevated Ghrelin, and Increased Body Mass Index.” *PLoS Medicine*, vol. 1, no. 3, 2004, pp. 210–217. https://journals.plos.org/plosmedicine/articleid=10.1371%2Fjournal.pmed.0010062

Trejo-Pech, Carlos J. O., et al. “Financial Ratios of the U.S. Grocery Sector in a Changing Economic Environment.” *Applied Economics & Theory Review*, vol. 6, no. 2, 2024, pp. 1 - 20.https://www.aetrjournal.org/UserFiles/file/AETR_2023_018RR%20Proof%20Final.pdf.

ValueInvesting.io. *McDonald’s Net Margin*. ValueInvesting.io, 2025, https://valueinvesting.io/MCD/metric/net-margin.

*“WinCo Produce Prices.”* WinCo Foods (price list hosted via DP Copy), https://dpcopy.tripod.com/winco.html.